

Deformations of metabelian representations of knot groups into $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$

Leila Ben Abdelghani, Michael Heusener and Hajer Jebali

Abstract

Let K be a knot in S^3 and X its complement. We study deformations of reducible metabelian representations of the knot group $\pi_1(X)$ into $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ which are associated to a double root of the Alexander polynomial. We prove that these reducible metabelian representations are smooth points of the representation variety and that they have irreducible non metabelian deformations.

Introduction

Let K be a knot in S^3 . We let $X = \overline{S^3 \setminus V(K)}$ denote the knot complement where $V(K)$ is a tubular neighbourhood of K . Moreover we let $\pi = \pi_1(X)$ denote the fundamental group of X . Let μ be a meridian of K and let $\Delta_K(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ denote the Alexander polynomial of K . We associate to $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$ a homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_\alpha: \pi &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \\ \gamma &\mapsto \alpha^{|\gamma|} \end{aligned}$$

with $|\gamma| = p(\gamma)$, where $p: \pi \rightarrow \pi/\pi' \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ denotes the canonical projection. Note that $\eta_\alpha(\mu) = \alpha$. We define $\mathbb{C}_\alpha$ to be the π -module $\mathbb{C}$ with the action induced by α , i.e. $\gamma \cdot x = \alpha^{|\gamma|}x$, for all $x \in \mathbb{C}$ and for all $\gamma \in \pi$. The trivial π -module $\mathbb{C}_1$ is simply denoted $\mathbb{C}$.

Burde and de Rham proved, independently, that when α is a root of the Alexander polynomial there exists a reducible metabelian, non abelian, representation $\phi: \pi \rightarrow GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\phi(\gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{|\gamma|} & z(\gamma) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here z is a 1-cocycle in $Z^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha)$ which is not a coboundary (see [7] and [8]). The homomorphism ϕ induces a representation into $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ (Lemma 2) given by

$$\tilde{\phi}(\gamma) = \alpha^{-1/2}(\gamma)\phi(\gamma), \quad \forall \gamma \in \pi,$$

where $\alpha^{-1/2}: \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ is a homomorphism such that $(\alpha^{-1/2}(\gamma))^2 = \alpha^{-|\gamma|}$, for all $\gamma \in \pi$.

This constitutes the starting point to the study of the problem of deformations of metabelian and abelian representations in $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ or $SU(2)$ that correspond to a simple zero of the Alexander polynomial (see [9] and [14]). The result of [9] is generalized in [11] and [12] by replacing the condition of the simple zero by a condition on the signature operator. Similar results are established in [19] in the case of cyclic torsion, but unfortunately none of these results has been published. In [2], [3] and [4], the authors considered the case of any complex connected reductive or real connected compact Lie group. They supposed that the $(t - \alpha)$ -torsion of the Alexander module is semisimple. A $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ version was given recently in [13].

Throughout this paper, we suppose that $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$ is a multiple root of the Alexander polynomial $\Delta_K(t)$ and that $\dim H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha) = 1$. This means that the $(t - \alpha)$ -torsion of the Alexander module is cyclic of the form

$$\tau_\alpha = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]/(t - \alpha)^r \text{ where } r \geq 2.$$

As particular generalization of Burde and de Rham's result it is established in [15] that in this case there exists a reducible metabelian, non abelian, representation $\rho_0: \pi \rightarrow GL(3, \mathbb{C})$ defined by

$$\rho_0(\gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{|\gamma|} & z(\gamma) & g(\gamma) \\ 0 & 1 & h(\gamma) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $h: \pi \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, +)$ is a non trivial homomorphism and $g: \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\alpha$ is a 1-cochain verifying $\delta g + z \cup h = 0$. We normalize ρ_0 by considering

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}: \pi &\rightarrow SL(3, \mathbb{C}) \\ \gamma &\mapsto \alpha^{-1/3}(\gamma)\rho_0(\gamma) \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha^{-1/3}: \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ is a homomorphism (Lemma 2) such that

$$(\alpha^{-1/3}(\gamma))^3 = \alpha^{-|\gamma|}, \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \pi.$$

The Lie algebra $sl(3, \mathbb{C})$ turns into a π -module via the adjoint action of the representation $\tilde{\rho}$, $\text{Ad} \circ \tilde{\rho}: \pi \rightarrow \text{Aut}(sl(3, \mathbb{C}))$. The aim of this paper is to

answer the following question: when can $\tilde{\rho}$ be deformed into irreducible non metabelian representations?

We use the technical approach of [13] to prove the following result:

Theorem 1 *We suppose that the $(t - \alpha)$ -torsion of the Alexander module is cyclic of the form $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]/(t - \alpha)^r$. If α is a double root of the Alexander polynomial i.e. $r = 2$, then there exist irreducible non metabelian representations from π into $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ which deform $\tilde{\rho}$. Moreover, the representation $\tilde{\rho}$ is a smooth point of the representation variety $R(\pi, SL(3, \mathbb{C}))$; it is contained in an unique 10-dimensional component $R_{\tilde{\rho}}$ of $R(\pi, SL(3, \mathbb{C}))$.*

The first example of classical knots whose Alexander polynomial has a double root α such that the $(t - \alpha)$ -torsion of the Alexander module is cyclic is 8_{20} .

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 1 the basic notation and facts are presented. The Section 2 includes the proof of Theorem 1. The cohomology computations are done in Section 3. The aim of Section 4 is to study the nature of the deformations of $\tilde{\rho}$.

Acknowledgements. The authors are pleased to acknowledge the support by the French-Tunisian CMCU project n° 06S/1502. Moreover, the authors like to thank the anonymous referee for her/his valuable remarks. Her/his suggestions helped to improve in a substantial way the exposition and the content of the paper.

1 Notation and facts

Lemma 2 *Let $n \geq 2$ and let $\eta: \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be a homomorphism. Then there exists $\tilde{\eta}: \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ a homomorphism such that $(\tilde{\eta}(\gamma))^n = \eta(\gamma)$, for all $\gamma \in \pi$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda: \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be a map satisfying $(\lambda(\gamma))^n = \eta(\gamma)$, for all $\gamma \in \pi$. Then there exists a map $\omega: \pi \times \pi \rightarrow U_n$ such that

$$\lambda(\gamma_1 \gamma_2) = \lambda(\gamma_1) \lambda(\gamma_2) \omega(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \quad , \quad \forall \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \pi ,$$

where $U_n = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C}^* \mid \xi^n = 1\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Hence λ is unique up to multiplication by a n -th root of unity. It is not hard to check that ω is a 2-cocycle in $Z^2(\pi, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. Since $H^2(\pi, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = 0$, there exists a 1-cochain d such that $\omega = \delta d$ and we can easily verify that λd is a homomorphism satisfying

$$(\lambda(\gamma) d(\gamma))^n = \eta(\gamma), \quad \forall \gamma \in \pi .$$

□

1.1 Group cohomology

The general reference for this section is Brown's book [6]. Let A be a π -module. We denote by $C^*(\pi, A)$ the cochain complex. An element of $C^n(\pi, A)$ can be viewed as a function $f: \pi^n \rightarrow A$, i.e. as a function of n variables from π to A . The coboundary operator $\delta: C^n(\pi, A) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(\pi, A)$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta f(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n+1}) &= \gamma_1 \cdot f(\gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i f(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{i-1}, \gamma_i \gamma_{i+1}, \dots, \gamma_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n+1} f(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $C^0(\pi, A) \simeq A$ and that, for $a \in C^0(\pi, A)$, we have:

$$\delta a(\gamma) = (\gamma - 1) \cdot a, \quad \forall \gamma \in \pi.$$

The coboundaries (respectively cocycles, cohomology) of π with coefficients in A are denoted by $B^*(\pi, A)$ (respectively $Z^*(\pi, A)$, $H^*(\pi, A)$). For z a cocycle in $Z^i(\pi, A)$, $i \geq 1$, the cohomology class in $H^i(\pi, A)$ is denoted $\{z\}$.

Let A_1 , A_2 and A_3 be π -modules. The cup product of two cochains $u \in C^p(\pi, A_1)$ and $v \in C^q(\pi, A_2)$ is the cochain $u \cup v \in C^{p+q}(\pi, A_1 \otimes A_2)$ defined by

$$u \cup v(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{p+q}) := u(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_p) \otimes \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_p \circ v(\gamma_{p+1}, \dots, \gamma_{p+q}).$$

Here $A_1 \otimes A_2$ is a π -module via the diagonal action. It is possible to combine the cup product with any bilinear map $A_1 \otimes A_2 \rightarrow A_3$. We are only interested by the product map $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\alpha^\pm} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\alpha^\pm}$ and $\mathbb{C}_\alpha \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\alpha^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

A short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A_1 \xrightarrow{i} A_2 \xrightarrow{p} A_3 \rightarrow 0$$

of π -modules gives rise to a short exact sequence of cochain complexes:

$$0 \rightarrow C^*(\pi, A_1) \xrightarrow{i_*} C^*(\pi, A_2) \xrightarrow{p_*} C^*(\pi, A_3) \rightarrow 0.$$

In the sequel we will make use of the corresponding long exact cohomology sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\pi, A_1) \rightarrow H^0(\pi, A_2) \rightarrow H^0(\pi, A_3) \xrightarrow{\delta^1} H^1(\pi, A_1) \rightarrow \dots$$

In order to define the connecting homomorphism $\delta^{n+1}: H^n(\pi, A_3) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(\pi, A_1)$ we let δ_2 denote the coboundary operator of $C^*(\pi, A_2)$. If $z \in Z^n(\pi, A_3)$ is a cocycle then $\delta^{n+1}(\{z\}) = \{i_*^{-1}(\delta_2(\tilde{z}))\}$ where the cochain $\tilde{z} \in p_*^{-1}(z) \subset C^n(\pi, A_2)$ is any lift of z .

1.2 Group cohomology and representation varieties

The set $R_n(\pi) := R(\pi, SL(n, \mathbb{C}))$ of homomorphisms of π in $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is called the representation variety of π in $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ and is a (not necessarily irreducible) algebraic variety.

Definition 3 A representation $\rho: \pi \rightarrow SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ of the knot group π is called abelian (resp. metabelian) if the restriction of ρ to the first (resp. second) commutator subgroup of π , denoted π' (resp. π'') is trivial.

In this section, we present some results of [13] that we will use in the sequel. Let $\rho: \pi \rightarrow SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a representation. The Lie algebra $sl(n, \mathbb{C})$ turns into a π -module via $Ad \circ \rho$. This module will be simply denoted by $sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho$. A cocycle $d \in Z^1(\pi, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho)$ is a map $d: \pi \rightarrow sl(n, \mathbb{C})$ satisfying

$$d(\gamma_1 \gamma_2) = d(\gamma_1) + Ad \circ \rho(\gamma_1)(d(\gamma_2)) \quad , \quad \forall \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \pi .$$

It was observed by André Weil [21] that there is a natural inclusion of the Zariski tangent space $T_\rho^{Zar}(R_n(\pi)) \hookrightarrow Z^1(\pi, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho)$. Informally speaking, given a smooth curve ρ_ϵ of representations through $\rho_0 = \rho$ one gets a 1-cocycle $d: \pi \rightarrow sl(n, \mathbb{C})$ by defining

$$d(\gamma) := \left. \frac{d\rho_\epsilon(\gamma)}{d\epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} \rho(\gamma)^{-1}, \quad \forall \gamma \in \pi .$$

It is easy to see that the tangent space to the orbit by conjugation corresponds to the space of 1-coboundaries $B^1(\pi, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho)$. Here, $b: \pi \rightarrow sl(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a coboundary if there exists $x \in sl(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that $b(\gamma) = Ad \circ \rho(\gamma)(x) - x$. A detailed account can be found in [16].

Let $\dim_\rho R_n(\pi)$ be the local dimension of $R_n(\pi)$ at ρ (i.e. the maximal dimension of the irreducible components of $R_n(\pi)$ containing ρ [18, Ch. II]). In the sequel we will use the following lemmas from [13]:

Lemma 4 *Let $\rho \in R_n(\pi)$ be given. If $\dim_\rho R_n(\pi) = \dim Z^1(\pi, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho)$ then ρ is a smooth point of the representation variety $R_n(\pi)$ and ρ is contained in a unique component of $R_n(\pi)$ of dimension $\dim Z^1(\pi, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho)$.*

Lemma 5 *Let A be a π -module and let M be any CW-complex with $\pi_1(M) \cong \pi$. Then there are natural morphisms $H_i(M, A) \rightarrow H_i(\pi, A)$ which are isomorphisms for $i = 0, 1$ and a surjection for $i = 2$. In cohomology there are natural morphisms $H^i(\pi, A) \rightarrow H^i(M, A)$ which are isomorphisms for $i = 0, 1$ and an injection for $i = 2$.*

Remark 6 Let A be a π -module and X a knot complement in S^3 . The homomorphisms $H^*(\pi, A) \rightarrow H^*(X, A)$ and $H^*(\pi_1(\partial X), A) \rightarrow H^*(\partial X, A)$ are isomorphisms. This is a consequence of the asphericity of X and ∂X . Moreover, the knot complement X has the homotopy type of a 2-dimensional CW-complex which implies that $H^k(\pi, A) = 0$ for $k \geq 3$.

2 Deforming metabelian representations

The aim of the following sections is to prove that, when α is a root of the Alexander polynomial of order 2 then certain metabelian representations are smooth points of the representation variety.

In order to construct deformations of $\tilde{\rho}$ we use the classical approach, i.e. we first solve the corresponding formal problem and apply then a deep theorem of Artin [1]. The formal deformations of a representation $\rho: \pi \rightarrow SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ are in general determined by an infinite sequence of obstructions (see [3] and [10]).

Given a cocycle in $Z^1(\pi, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho)$ the first obstruction to integration is the cup product with itself. In general when the k -th obstruction vanishes, the obstruction of order $k+1$ is defined, it lives in $H^2(\pi, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho)$.

Let $\rho: \pi \rightarrow SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a representation. A formal deformation of ρ is a homomorphism $\rho_\infty: \pi \rightarrow SL(n, \mathbb{C}[[t]])$

$$\rho_\infty(\gamma) = \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t^i u_i(\gamma) \right) \rho(\gamma)$$

where $u_i: \pi \rightarrow sl(n, \mathbb{C})$ are elements of $C^1(\pi, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho)$ such that $ev_0 \circ \rho_\infty = \rho$. Here $ev_0: SL(n, \mathbb{C}[[t]]) \rightarrow SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is the evaluation homomorphism at $t = 0$ and $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ denotes the ring of formal power series. We will say that ρ_∞ is a formal deformation up to order k of ρ if ρ_∞ is a homomorphism modulo t^{k+1} .

An easy calculation gives that ρ_∞ is a homomorphism up to first order if and only if $u_1 \in Z^1(\pi, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho)$ is a cocycle. We call a cocycle $u_1 \in Z^1(\pi, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho)$ *integrable* if there is a formal deformation of ρ with leading term u_1 .

Lemma 7 *Let $u_1, \dots, u_k \in C^1(\pi, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho)$ such that*

$$\rho_k(\gamma) = \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^k t^i u_i(\gamma) \right) \rho(\gamma)$$

is a homomorphism into $SL(n, \mathbb{C}[[t]])$ modulo t^{k+1} . Then there exists an obstruction class $\zeta_{k+1} := \zeta_{k+1}^{(u_1, \dots, u_k)} \in H^2(\pi, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho)$ with the following properties:

(i) There is a cochain $u_{k+1}: \pi \rightarrow sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho$ such that

$$\rho_{k+1}(\gamma) = \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} t^i u_i(\gamma) \right) \rho(\gamma)$$

is a homomorphism modulo t^{k+2} if and only if $\zeta_{k+1} = 0$.

(ii) The obstruction ζ_{k+1} is natural, i.e. if $f: \Gamma \rightarrow \pi$ is a homomorphism then $f^* \rho_k := \rho_k \circ f$ is also a homomorphism modulo t^{k+1} and $f^*(\zeta_{k+1}^{(u_1, \dots, u_k)}) = \zeta_{k+1}^{(f^* u_1, \dots, f^* u_k)}$.

Proof. The proof is completely analogous to the proof of Proposition 3.1 in [14]. We replace $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ (resp. $sl(2, \mathbb{C})$) by $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ (resp. $sl(n, \mathbb{C})$). $\square$

Let $i: \partial X \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion. For the convenience of the reader, we state the following result which is implicitly contained in [13]:

Theorem 8 *Let $\rho \in R_n(\pi)$ be a representation such that $H^0(X, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho) = 0$ i.e. the centralizer $Z(\rho) \subset SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is finite.*

If $\dim H^0(\partial X, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho) = \dim H^2(X, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho) = n-1$ and if $\rho \circ i_\#$ is a smooth point of $R_n(\pi_1(\partial X))$, then ρ is a smooth point of the representation variety $R_n(\pi)$; it is contained in a unique irreducible component of dimension $n^2 + n - 2 = (n+2)(n-1)$.

Proof. Recall that the Zariski tangent space of $R_n(\pi)$ at ρ is contained in $Z^1(\pi, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho)$ [21]. To prove the smoothness, we show that all cocycles in $Z^1(\pi, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho)$ are integrable. Therefore, we prove that all obstructions vanish, by using the fact that the obstructions vanish on the boundary.

We consider the exact sequence in cohomology for the pair $(X, \partial X)$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(\partial X, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho) &\rightarrow H^1(X, \partial X, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho) \rightarrow \\ H^1(X, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho) &\rightarrow H^1(\partial X, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho) \rightarrow H^2(X, \partial X, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho) \rightarrow \\ &H^2(X, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho) \xrightarrow{i_1^*} H^2(\partial X, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Poincaré duality implies that $\dim H^2(\partial X, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho) = n-1$ and the Poincaré–Lefschetz duality gives

$$H^3(X, \partial X, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho) \simeq H^0(X, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho)^* = 0.$$

Since i_1^* is surjective and $\dim H^2(X, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho) = \dim H^2(\partial X, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho)$ we get $H^2(X, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho) \simeq H^2(\partial X, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho)$. From Lemma 5 we deduce that

$$i^*: H^2(\pi, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho) \rightarrow H^2(\pi_1(\partial X), sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho)$$

is an isomorphism.

We will now prove that every element of $Z^1(\pi, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho)$ is integrable. Let $u_1, \dots, u_k: \pi \rightarrow sl(n, \mathbb{C})$ be given such that

$$\rho_k(\gamma) = \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^k t^i u_i(\gamma) \right) \rho(\gamma)$$

is a homomorphism modulo t^{k+1} . Then the restriction $\rho_k \circ i_\# : \pi_1(\partial X) \rightarrow SL(n, \mathbb{C}[[t]])$ is also a formal deformation of order k . Since $\rho \circ i_\#$ is a smooth point of the representation variety $R_n(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z})$, the formal implicit function theorem gives that $\rho_k \circ i_\#$ extends to a formal deformation of order $k+1$ (see [14, Lemma 3.7]). Therefore, we have that

$$0 = \zeta_{k+1}^{(i^*u_1, \dots, i^*u_k)} = i^* \zeta_{k+1}^{(u_1, \dots, u_k)}$$

Now, i^* is injective and the obstruction vanishes.

Hence all cocycles in $Z^1(\pi, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho)$ are integrable. By applying Artin's theorem [1] we obtain from a formal deformation of ρ a convergent deformation (see [14, Lemma 3.3] or [3, § 4.2]).

Thus ρ is a smooth point of the representation variety $R_n(\pi)$. The Euler characteristic $\chi(X)$ vanishes. Hence, $\dim H^1(\pi, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho) = \dim H^2(\pi, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho) = n - 1$. Since $\dim B^1(\pi, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho) = n^2 - 1$, then $\dim Z^1(\pi, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho) = n^2 + n - 2$. $\square$

Following [20, § 3.5] we call an $A \in SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ a *regular element* if the dimension of the centralizer $Z(A)$ of A in $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is $n - 1$. Moreover note that A is regular iff $Z(A)$ is abelian. The regular elements form an open dense set in $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ (see [20, § 3.5] for details).

Lemma 9 *Let $\rho \in R_n(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z})$ be a representation and let $\mu \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ be simple i.e. there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ such that (μ, λ) is a basis.*

If $\rho(\mu) \in SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a regular element then ρ is a smooth point of $R_n(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z})$. It belongs to a $(n+2)(n-1)$ -dimensional component of $R_n(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. We have that

$$H^0(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho) = sl(n, \mathbb{C})^{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}} = sl(n, \mathbb{C})^{\rho(\mu)} \cap sl(n, \mathbb{C})^{\rho(\lambda)}.$$

The regularity of $\rho(\mu)$ implies that $\dim sl(n, \mathbb{C})^{\rho(\mu)} = n - 1$ and $\dim \mathbb{C}[\rho(\mu)] = n$ where $\mathbb{C}[\rho(\mu)] \subset M(n, \mathbb{C})$ denotes the algebra generated by $\rho(\mu)$ (see [20, § 3.5]). On the other hand we have

$$\mathbb{C}[\rho(\mu)] \cap sl(n, \mathbb{C}) \subset sl(n, \mathbb{C})^{\rho(\mu)}$$

and the equality of the dimensions gives $\mathbb{C}[\rho(\mu)] \cap sl(n, \mathbb{C}) = sl(n, \mathbb{C})^{\rho(\mu)}$. Therefore we have for each $A \in Z(\rho(\mu))$ that $sl(n, \mathbb{C})^{\rho(\mu)} \subset sl(n, \mathbb{C})^A$ and

$$H^0(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho) = sl(n, \mathbb{C})^{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}} = sl(n, \mathbb{C})^{\rho(\mu)} \cap sl(n, \mathbb{C})^{\rho(\lambda)} = sl(n, \mathbb{C})^{\rho(\mu)}$$

follows. This gives $\dim H^0(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho) = n - 1$. Now, Poincaré duality implies that $\dim H^2(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho) = n - 1$ and since the Euler characteristic $\chi(\partial X)$ vanishes we obtain $\dim H^1(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho) = 2(n - 1)$. Thus $\dim B^1(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho) = n^2 - n$ and $\dim Z^1(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, sl(n, \mathbb{C})_\rho) = n^2 + n - 2$.

So to prove that ρ is a smooth point of $R_n(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z})$, we will verify that ρ is contained in a $(n^2 + n - 2)$ -dimensional component of $R(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z})$. Since the set of regular elements of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is open and since the dimension of the centralizer of a regular element is by definition $n - 1$ it follows easily that ρ is contained in an $(n^2 - 1) + (n - 1)$ dimensional component. $\square$

From now on, $sl(3, \mathbb{C})$ is considered as a π -module via the action of $\text{Ad} \circ \tilde{\rho}$. Let's recall that the image of a meridian is given by

$$\tilde{\rho}(\mu) = \alpha^{-1/3} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

which is a regular element of $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$.

We have:

$$H^0(\partial X, sl(3, \mathbb{C})) = sl(3, \mathbb{C})^{\tilde{\rho}(\mu)}$$

is two dimensional.

The next proposition will be proved in Section 3.

Proposition 10 *Let $K \subset S^3$ be a knot and suppose that the $(t - \alpha)$ -torsion of the Alexander module of K is of the form $\tau_\alpha = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]/(t - \alpha)^2$.*

Then we have:

1. $H^0(\pi, sl(3, \mathbb{C})) = 0$.
2. $\dim H^1(\pi, sl(3, \mathbb{C})) = \dim H^2(\pi, sl(3, \mathbb{C})) = 2$.

First part of the proof of Theorem 1. Recall that $\dim H^0(\partial X, sl(3, \mathbb{C})) = 2$. Now, we apply Theorem 8, using Lemma 9 and the fact that $\dim H^2(\pi, sl(3, \mathbb{C})) = 2$ (Proposition 10), to prove that $\tilde{\rho}$ is a smooth point of $R_3(\pi)$. By Proposition 10 we have $\dim H^0(\pi, sl(3, \mathbb{C})) = 0$ and $\dim H^1(\pi, sl(3, \mathbb{C})) = 2$ which implies that $\dim Z^1(\pi, sl(3, \mathbb{C})) = 10$. Hence the representation $\tilde{\rho}$ is contained in a 10-dimensional component $R_{\tilde{\rho}}$ of the representation variety (Lemma 4). In Theorem 20 and Corollary 21, we will see that the component $R_{\tilde{\rho}}$ contains irreducible non metabelian representations. $\square$

3 Cohomology of metabelian representations

Throughout this section we will suppose that the $(t - \alpha)$ -torsion of the Alexander module of K is of the form $\tau_\alpha = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]/(t - \alpha)^2$. This implies that $\dim H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha) = 1$.

Recall that the Lie algebra $sl(3, \mathbb{C})$ is a π -module via the action of the adjoint representation $\text{Ad} \circ \tilde{\rho} = \text{Ad} \circ \rho_0$, where

$$\rho_0(\gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{|\gamma|} & z(\gamma) & g(\gamma) \\ 0 & 1 & h(\gamma) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The goal in this section is to prove Proposition 10. The calculation of the dimensions of $H^*(\pi, sl(3, \mathbb{C}))$ uses several long exact sequences in cohomology associated to the π -module $sl(3, \mathbb{C})$.

3.1 The setup

Denote by $(E_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3}$ the canonical basis of $M(3, \mathbb{C})$ and let $D_1 = E_{22} - 2E_{11} + E_{33}$ and $D_2 = E_{11} - 2E_{33} + E_{22}$. Then $(D_1, D_2, E_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3)$ is a basis of $sl(3, \mathbb{C})$.

It is not hard to check that:

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\pi, \mathbb{C}) &\simeq \mathbb{C} & ; & & H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}) &\simeq \mathbb{C} & ; & & H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}) &= 0 \\ H^0(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha) &= 0 & ; & & H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha) &\simeq \mathbb{C} & ; & & H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha) &\simeq \mathbb{C} \end{aligned}$$

For more details see [2].

We define $\mathbb{C}_+(3) := \langle E_{12}, E_{23}, E_{13} \rangle$ and $b_+ := \langle D_1, D_2, E_{12}, E_{23}, E_{13} \rangle$ the Borel subalgebra of upper triangular matrices. Then the action of $\text{Ad} \circ \rho_0$ on

$\mathbb{C}_+(3)$ and b_+ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma \cdot E_{12} &= \alpha^{|\gamma|} E_{12} - \alpha^{|\gamma|} h(\gamma) E_{13} \\
\gamma \cdot E_{13} &= \alpha^{|\gamma|} E_{13} \\
\gamma \cdot E_{23} &= E_{23} + z(\gamma) E_{13} \\
\gamma \cdot D_1 &= D_1 + 3z(\gamma) E_{12} - (3z(\gamma) h(\gamma) - 3g(\gamma)) E_{13} \\
\gamma \cdot D_2 &= D_2 - 3h(\gamma) E_{23} - 3g(\gamma) E_{13}
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Hence $\mathbb{C}_+(3)$ and b_+ are π -submodules of $sl(3, \mathbb{C})$. Moreover $\langle E_{13} \rangle$ is a π -submodule of $\mathbb{C}_+(3)$ where the action is given by the multiplication by α . We have the following short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \langle E_{13} \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_+(3) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_+(3)/\langle E_{13} \rangle \rightarrow 0. \tag{2}$$

Note that $\langle E_{13} \rangle \simeq \mathbb{C}_\alpha$ and that $\mathbb{C}_+(3)/\langle E_{13} \rangle \simeq \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}_\alpha$. The first isomorphism is induced by the projection $p_{13}: \langle E_{13} \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\alpha$ and the second isomorphism is induced by the projection

$$pr_1: \mathbb{C}_+(3) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}_\alpha, \quad pr_1(M) = (p_{23}(M), p_{12}(M)).$$

Here $p_{ij}: M(3, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ denotes the projection onto the (i, j) -coordinates. Hence (2) gives the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\alpha \xrightarrow{i_1} \mathbb{C}_+(3) \xrightarrow{pr_1} \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}_\alpha \rightarrow 0 \tag{3}$$

where $i_1: \mathbb{C}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_+(3)$ is given by $i_1(c) = cE_{13}$.

On the other hand, $\mathbb{C}_+(3)$ is a π -submodule of b_+ . Let us denote $D_+ := b_+/\mathbb{C}_+(3)$, so we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_+(3) \xrightarrow{i_2} b_+ \rightarrow D_+ \rightarrow 0. \tag{4}$$

The projection of an element of b_+ onto its coordinates on D_1 and D_2 induces by (1) an isomorphism $pr_2: D_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$. Hence (4) gives the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_+(3) \xrightarrow{i_2} b_+ \xrightarrow{pr_2} \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0. \tag{5}$$

We define $\mathbb{C}_-(3)$ as $sl(3, \mathbb{C})/b_+$. Then we have a short exact sequence of π -modules

$$0 \rightarrow b_+ \rightarrow sl(3, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_-(3) \rightarrow 0. \tag{6}$$

The action of $\text{Ad} \circ \rho_0$ on the lower triangular matrices in $sl(3, \mathbb{C})$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma \cdot E_{21} &= \alpha^{-|\gamma|} E_{21} \pmod{b_+} \\
\gamma \cdot E_{31} &= \alpha^{-|\gamma|} h(\gamma) E_{21} + \alpha^{-|\gamma|} E_{31} - \alpha^{-|\gamma|} z(\gamma) E_{32} \pmod{b_+} \\
\gamma \cdot E_{32} &= E_{32} \pmod{b_+}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Let $\overline{E}_{ij} = E_{ij} + b_+$, for $1 \leq j < i \leq 3$. Equation (7) gives that $\langle \overline{E}_{21}, \overline{E}_{32} \rangle$ is a π -submodule of $\mathbb{C}_-(3)$ and that

$$\langle \overline{E}_{21}, \overline{E}_{32} \rangle \simeq \mathbb{C}_{\alpha^{-1}} \oplus \mathbb{C}.$$

Moreover, the quotient $\mathbb{C}_-(3)/\langle \overline{E}_{21}, \overline{E}_{32} \rangle$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}_{\alpha^{-1}}$. This isomorphism is simply induced by the projection p_{31} . Hence we obtain a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\alpha^{-1}} \oplus \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_-(3) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\alpha^{-1}} \rightarrow 0. \quad (8)$$

3.2 The computations

Lemma 11 *Same assumptions as in Proposition 10.*

For the cohomology groups $H^k(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3))$ the following holds:

$$H^k(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3)) = 0, \text{ if } k \neq 1, 2,$$

$$(pr_1)_*: H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3)) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha)$$

is an isomorphism and there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha) \xrightarrow{(i_1)^*} H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3)) \xrightarrow{(pr_1)^*} H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha) \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, $\dim H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3)) = \dim H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3)) = 2$.

Proof. The long exact cohomology sequence associated to (3) gives:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3)) \rightarrow H^0(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\delta^1} H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha) \rightarrow H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3)) \\ \rightarrow H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\delta^2} H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha) \rightarrow H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3)) \rightarrow H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

In order to calculate $\delta^1: H^0(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha)$ let δ denote the coboundary operator of $C^*(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3))$.

If $c \in Z^0(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = H^0(\pi, \mathbb{C})$ then $cE_{23} \in (pr_1)_*^{-1}(c, 0) \subset C^0(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3))$ and by (1) we obtain:

$$\delta(cE_{23})(\gamma) = c(\gamma - 1) \cdot E_{23} = cz(\gamma)E_{13}.$$

Therefore $\delta^1(c) = c\{z\} \in H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha)$. Since $\{z\} \neq 0$ in $H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha)$, δ^1 is injective and hence an isomorphism (recall that $\dim H^0(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = \dim H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha) = 1$). This implies that $H^0(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3)) = 0$ and the long exact sequence in cohomology becomes

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3)) \rightarrow H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\delta^2} H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha) \rightarrow \\ H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3)) \rightarrow H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Next we consider $\delta^2: H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha) \rightarrow H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha)$. For $h' \in Z^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = \text{Hom}(\pi, \mathbb{C})$, we have that $h'E_{23} \in (pr_1)_*^{-1}(h', 0) \subset C^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3))$ and (1) gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(h'E_{23})(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) &= \gamma_1 \cdot (h'(\gamma_2)E_{23}) - h'(\gamma_1\gamma_2)E_{23} + h'(\gamma_1)E_{23} \\ &= z \cup h'(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)E_{13}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\delta^2(h') = \{z \cup h'\}$ and a similar computation for $z' \in Z^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha)$ gives $\delta^2(\{z'\}) = \{-h \cup z'\}$.

So $\delta^2(\{h'\} + \{z'\}) = \{z \cup h'\} - \{h \cup z'\}$. Since α is not a simple root of the Alexander polynomial it follows that $\delta^2 \equiv 0$ (see [4, Theorem 3.2]). We obtain, from (9) the following exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3)) \xrightarrow{(pr_1)^*} H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha) \xrightarrow{(i_1)^*} H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3)) \xrightarrow{(pr_1)^*} H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$$

from which we deduce that $\dim H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3)) = \dim H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3)) = 2$. $\square$

In the following lemma, we will compute the dimensions of $H^*(\pi, b_+)$.

Lemma 12 *Same assumptions as in Proposition 10.*

We have $\dim H^0(\pi, b_+) = 0$ and $\dim H^1(\pi, b_+) = \dim H^2(\pi, b_+) = 1$. Moreover, we have $\text{Ker}(i_2)_* = \text{Ker}(pr_1)_*$ where

$$(i_2)_*: H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3)) \rightarrow H^2(\pi, b_+) \text{ and } (pr_1)_*: H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3)) \rightarrow H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha).$$

Proof. The short exact sequence (5) gives the following long exact cohomology sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(\pi, b_+) \rightarrow H^0(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^0(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\delta^1} H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3)) \rightarrow H^1(\pi, b_+) \\ \rightarrow H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\delta^2} H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3)) \rightarrow H^2(\pi, b_+) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

A calculation similar to the one in the last proof gives that δ^1 is injective. Thus $H^0(\pi, b_+) = 0$ and

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\pi, b_+) \rightarrow H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\delta^2} H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3)) \rightarrow H^2(\pi, b_+) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Now we are interested in $\delta^2: H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3))$. The element $h'D_1 \in C^1(\pi, b_+)$ projects via $(pr_2)_*$ onto $(h', 0) \in Z^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \oplus Z^1(\pi, \mathbb{C})$. Moreover:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(h'D_1)(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) &= \gamma_1 \cdot (h'(\gamma_2)D_1) - h'(\gamma_1\gamma_2)D_1 + h'(\gamma_1)D_1 \\ &= 3z \cup h'(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)E_{12} - 3((zh - g) \cup h')(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)E_{13}, \end{aligned}$$

where δ denotes the coboundary operator of $C^*(\pi, b_+)$. Here $zh \in C^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha)$ is simply defined by $zh(\gamma) := z(\gamma)h(\gamma)$, for $\gamma \in \pi$. Similarly, $h''D_2 \in C^1(\pi, b_+)$ projects onto $(0, h'') \in Z^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \oplus Z^1(\pi, \mathbb{C})$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(h''D_2)(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) &= \gamma_1 \cdot (h''(\gamma_2)D_2) - h''(\gamma_1\gamma_2)D_2 + h''(\gamma_1)D_2 \\ &= -3h \cup h''(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)E_{23} - 3g \cup h''(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)E_{13}.\end{aligned}$$

We know that $\{h \cup h''\} = 0$ since $H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = 0$. So let $h_2: \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a 1-cochain such that $\delta h_2 + h \cup h'' = 0$. Then $h''D_2 + 3h_2E_{23} \in C^1(\pi, b_+)$ projects also via $(pr_2)_*$ onto $(0, h'') \in Z^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \oplus Z^1(\pi, \mathbb{C})$ and

$$\delta(h''D_2 + 3h_2E_{23})(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = 3(z \cup h_2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) + g \cup h''(\gamma_1, \gamma_2))E_{13}.$$

Hence

$$\delta^2(0, h'') = 3\{z \cup h_2 + g \cup h''\}E_{13} \in (i_1)_*(H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha)) \subset H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3)).$$

Moreover, we know that $\{z \cup h_2 + g \cup h''\} \neq 0$ in $H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha)$ (see [15, Theorem 1] which implies $\text{rk } \delta^2 \geq 1$).

Similarly, there exists $g': \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\alpha$ a 1-cochain satisfying $\delta g' + z \cup h' = 0$ and $h'D_1 + 3g'E_{12} \in C^1(\pi, b_+)$ projects also onto $(h', 0) \in Z^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \oplus Z^1(\pi, \mathbb{C})$. We obtain:

$$\delta(h'D_1 + 3g'E_{12})(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = -3(h \cup g'(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) + (zh - g) \cup h'(\gamma_1, \gamma_2))E_{13}.$$

Note that $\delta(zh - g) + h \cup z = 0$.

This gives $\text{Im } \delta^2 \subset (i_1)_*(H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha)) \subset H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3))$. In particular, $\text{rk } \delta^2 \leq 1$ and hence $\text{rk } \delta^2 = 1$. Moreover we have $\text{Im } \delta^2 = \text{Im } (i_1)_*$ and hence $\text{Ker } (i_2)_* = \text{Ker } (pr_1)_*$.

The long exact sequence in cohomology gives $\dim H^1(\pi, b_+) = \dim H^2(\pi, b_+) = 1$. $\square$

Lemma 13 *The short exact sequence (8) implies that $H^0(\pi, \mathbb{C}_-(3)) \simeq H^0(\pi, \mathbb{C})$ and gives the following exact sequences:*

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_{\alpha-1}) \oplus H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_-(3)) \rightarrow H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_{\alpha-1}) \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_{\alpha-1}) \rightarrow H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_-(3)) \rightarrow H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_{\alpha-1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, we have $\dim H^0(\pi, \mathbb{C}_-(3)) = 1$, $\dim H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_-(3)) = 3$ and $\dim H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_-(3)) = 2$.

Proof. The long exact cohomology sequence associated to the short exact sequence (8) and the fact that $H^0(\pi, \mathbb{C}_{\alpha^\pm}) = 0$ gives the isomorphism

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^0(\pi, \mathbb{C}_-(3)) \rightarrow 0$$

and the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\xrightarrow{\delta^1} H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_{\alpha^{-1}}) \rightarrow H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_-(3)) \rightarrow \\ &H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_{\alpha^{-1}}) \xrightarrow{\delta^2} H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_{\alpha^{-1}}) \rightarrow H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_-(3)) \rightarrow H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_{\alpha^{-1}}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by similar computation as before and by using the fact that $H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = 0$ we obtain

$$\delta^2(\{z_-\}) = \{h \cup z_-\} \in H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_{\alpha^{-1}})$$

Since α is a double root of the Alexander polynomial, $\{h \cup z_-\} = 0$ (see [13] or [15]), so $\delta^2 \equiv 0$ and lemma follows. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 10. The short exact sequence (6) of π -modules gives the following long exact cohomology sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(\pi, sl(3, \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow H^0(\pi, \mathbb{C}_-(3)) &\xrightarrow{\delta^1} H^1(\pi, b_+) \rightarrow H^1(\pi, sl(3, \mathbb{C})) \\ \rightarrow H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_-(3)) &\xrightarrow{\delta^2} H^2(\pi, b_+) \rightarrow H^2(\pi, sl(3, \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_-(3)) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

An explicit calculation gives:

$$H^0(\pi, sl(3, \mathbb{C})) = \{A \in sl(3, \mathbb{C}) \mid \gamma \cdot A = A, \forall \gamma \in \pi\} = \{0\}$$

which implies that δ^1 is injective. Since $\dim H^0(\pi, \mathbb{C}_-(3)) = \dim H^1(\pi, b_+)$ (Lemmas 12 and 13), δ^1 is an isomorphism. So, we obtain

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\pi, \mathbb{C}_-(3)) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^1(\pi, b_+) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^1(\pi, sl(3, \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_-(3)) &\xrightarrow{\delta^2} H^2(\pi, b_+) \rightarrow \\ &H^2(\pi, sl(3, \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_-(3)) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, $H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_{\alpha^{-1}})$ injects in $H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_-(3))$ (Lemma 13), so to understand the map δ^2 , we do the following calculations:

$$\gamma \cdot E_{32} = E_{32} + \frac{1}{3}h(\gamma)(2D_2 + D_1) + g(\gamma)E_{12} - h^2(\gamma)E_{23} - g(\gamma)h(\gamma)E_{13}.$$

Hence for $h' \in Z^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \text{Hom}(\pi, \mathbb{C})$, we have $h'\overline{E}_{32} \in Z^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_-(3))$ and $h'E_{32} \in C^1(\pi, \text{sl}(3, \mathbb{C}))$ projects onto $h'\overline{E}_{32}$. Moreover,

$$\delta(h'E_{32}) = \frac{1}{3}h \cup h'(2D_2 + D_1) + g \cup h'E_{12} - h^2 \cup h'E_{23} - gh \cup h'E_{13}.$$

Here we let δ denote the coboundary operator of $C^*(\pi, \text{sl}(3, \mathbb{C}))$.

Let $h_2: \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a 1-cochain such that $\delta h_2 + h \cup h' = 0$, then

$$h'E_{32} + \frac{1}{3}h_2(2D_2 + D_1) \in C^1(\pi, \text{sl}(3, \mathbb{C}))$$

projects also onto $h'\overline{E}_{32}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(h'E_{32} + \frac{1}{3}h_2(2D_2 + D_1))(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) &= (g \cup h' + z \cup h_2)(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)E_{12} \\ &\quad - (h^2 \cup h' + 2h \cup h_2)(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)E_{23} - (gh \cup h' + (zh + g) \cup h_2)(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)E_{13}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives that

$$\delta(h'E_{32} + \frac{1}{3}h_2(2D_2 + D_1)) \in \text{Im}((i_2)_*: H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_+(3)) \rightarrow H^2(\pi, b_+)).$$

Moreover,

$$(pr_1)_*(\delta(h'E_{32} + \frac{1}{3}h_2(2D_2 + D_1))) = g \cup h' + z \cup h_2.$$

Since $\{g \cup h' + z \cup h_2\} \neq 0$ in $H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\alpha)$ (see [15, Theorem 1]) we obtain from $\text{Ker}(pr_1)_* = \text{Ker}(i_2)_*$ that $\delta^2(h'\overline{E}_{32}) \neq 0$ and hence $\text{rk } \delta^2 \geq 1$. Moreover, $\dim H^2(\pi, b_+) = 1$, so $\text{rk } \delta^2 = 1$ and the long exact sequence enables us to conclude that

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\pi, \text{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_-(3)) \rightarrow H^2(\pi, b_+) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact and that

$$H^2(\pi, \text{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_-(3))$$

is an isomorphism. In particular, using Lemmas 11, 12 and 13 we have $\dim H^1(\pi, \text{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})) = \dim H^2(\pi, \text{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})) = 2$. $\square$

Remark 14 If we consider the exact sequence in cohomology for the pair $(X, \partial X)$, we have:

$$H^1(X, \partial X, \text{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow H^1(X, \text{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})) \xrightarrow{i_2^*} H^1(\partial X, \text{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})).$$

Applying the Poincaré duality, we obtain $\text{rk } i_2^* = \frac{1}{2} \dim H^1(\partial X, \text{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})) = 2$. So $\dim H^1(X, \text{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})) = \dim H^1(\pi, \text{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})) \geq 2$.

4 The nature of the deformations

Throughout this section we will suppose that the $(t - \alpha)$ -torsion of the Alexander module of K is of the form $\tau_\alpha = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]/(t - \alpha)^2$.

A representation $\rho: \pi \rightarrow SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is called *reducible* if there exists a proper subspace $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\rho(\pi)$ preserves V . Otherwise ρ is called *irreducible*. By Burnside's theorem, a representation ρ is irreducible if and only if the image $\rho(\pi)$ generates the full matrix algebra $M(n, \mathbb{C})$. The *orbit* of a representation ρ is the subset $\mathcal{O}(\rho) = \{\text{Ad}_A \circ \rho \mid A \in SL(n, \mathbb{C})\} \subset R_n(\pi)$.

Note that the orbit of an irreducible representation is closed. The orbit of the representation $\tilde{\rho}$ is not closed. This might be seen by looking at the one parameter subgroup $\lambda: \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ given by $\lambda(t) = \text{diag}(t, 1, t^{-1})$. It follows that

$$\rho_\alpha(\gamma) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \tilde{\rho}(\gamma) \lambda(t)^{-1}$$

is a diagonal representation $\rho_\alpha: \pi \rightarrow SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ given by $\rho_\alpha(\mu) = \alpha^{-1/3} \text{diag}(\alpha, 1, 1)$. Note that the orbit $\mathcal{O}(\rho_\alpha)$ is closed and 4-dimensional. It is contained in the closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\rho})}$ which is 8-dimensional.

Definition 15 A representation $\rho \in R_n(\pi)$ is called *stable* if its orbit $\mathcal{O}(\rho)$ is closed and if the isotropy group $Z(\rho)$ is finite. We denote by $S_n(\pi) \subset R_n(\pi)$ the set of stable representations.

Remark 16 By a result of Newstead [17, Proposition 3.8], the set $S_n(\pi)$ is Zariski open in $R_n(\pi)$. However, $S_n(\pi)$ might be empty.

Next we will see that there are stable deformations of $\tilde{\rho}$. In order to proceed we will assume that there is a Wirtinger generator S_1 of π such that $z(S_1) = 0 = g(S_1)$. This can always be arranged by adding a coboundary to z and g i.e. by conjugating the representation $\tilde{\rho}$.

Lemma 17 Let $\rho_t: \pi \rightarrow SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ be a curve in $R(\pi)$ with $\rho_0 = \tilde{\rho}$. Then there exists a curve C_t in $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ such that $C_0 = I_3$ and

$$\text{Ad}_{C_t} \circ \rho_t(S_1) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(t) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22}(t) & a_{23}(t) \\ 0 & a_{32}(t) & a_{33}(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

for all sufficiently small t .

Proof. Let $A(t) := \rho_t(S_1)$. Note that $\alpha^{2/3}$ is a simple root of the characteristic polynomial of $A(0)$. Hence there is a simple eigenvalue $a_{11}(t)$ of $A(t)$

which depends analytically on t . Note that the corresponding eigenvector v_t can be chosen to depend also analytically on t and such that v_0 is the first canonical basis vector e_1 of $\mathbb{C}^3$. Hence (v_t, e_2, e_3) is a basis for all sufficiently small t and $A(t)$ takes the form

$$A(t) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(t) & a_{12}(t) & a_{13}(t) \\ 0 & a_{22}(t) & a_{23}(t) \\ 0 & a_{32}(t) & a_{33}(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next observe that the matrix $(A_{11}(t) - a_{11}(t)I_2)$ is invertible for sufficiently small t . Here A_{11} denotes the minor obtained from A by eliminating the first row and the first column. Hence the system

$$(a_{12}(t), a_{13}(t)) + (x(t), y(t))(A_{11}(t) - a_{11}(t)I_2) = 0$$

has a unique solution and for

$$P(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x(t) & y(t) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

the matrix $P(t)A(t)P(t)^{-1}$ has the desired form. $\square$

For the next step we choose a second Wirtinger generator S_2 of π such that $z(S_2) = b \neq 0 = z(S_1)$. This is always possible since z is not a coboundary. Hence

$$\tilde{\rho}(S_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{2/3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1/3} & \alpha^{-1/3} \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha^{-1/3} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \tilde{\rho}(S_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{2/3} & b & c \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1/3} & \alpha^{-1/3} \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha^{-1/3} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $b \neq 0$.

Proposition 18 *Let $A(t)$ and $B(t) = (b_{ij}(t))_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3}$ be matrices depending analytically on t such that*

$$A(t) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(t) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22}(t) & a_{23}(t) \\ 0 & a_{32}(t) & a_{33}(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad A(0) = \tilde{\rho}(S_1) \text{ and } B(0) = \tilde{\rho}(S_2).$$

If the first derivative $b'_{31}(0) \neq 0$ then for sufficiently small t , $t \neq 0$, the matrices $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ generate the full matrix algebra.

Proof. We denote by $\mathcal{A}_t \subset M(3, \mathbb{C})$ the algebra generated by $A(t)$ and $B(t)$. Let $\chi_{A_{11}}(X)$ denote the characteristic polynomial of $A_{11}(t)$. It follows that $\chi_{A_{11}}(a_{11}(t)) \neq 0$ for small t and hence

$$\frac{\chi_{A_{11}}(A(t))}{\chi_{A_{11}(t)}(a_{11}(t))} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes (1 \ 0 \ 0) \in \mathbb{C}[A(t)] \subset \mathcal{A}_t.$$

In the next step we will prove that

$$\mathcal{A}_t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbb{C}^3 \text{ and } (1 \ 0 \ 0) \mathcal{A}_t = \mathbb{C}^3, \text{ for small } t \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$

It follows from this that $\mathcal{A}_t$ contains all rank one matrices since a rank one matrix can be written as $v \otimes w$ where v is a column vector and w is a row vector. Note also that $A(v \otimes w) = (Av)w$ and $(v \otimes w)A = v \otimes (wA)$. Since each matrix is the sum of rank one matrices the proposition follows.

The vectors $(1 \ 0 \ 0) A(0)$, $(1 \ 0 \ 0) B(0)$ and $(1 \ 0 \ 0) B(0)^2$ form a basis of the space of row vectors. Hence $(1 \ 0 \ 0) \mathcal{A}_t$ is the space of row vectors for sufficiently small t .

Consider the three column vectors

$$a(t) := A(t) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b(t) := B(t) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } c(t) := A(t)b(t)$$

and define the function $f(t) := \det(a(t), b(t), c(t))$. It follows that $f(t) = a_{11}(t)g(t)$ where $g(t)$ is given by

$$g(t) = \begin{vmatrix} b_{21}(t) & a_{22}(t)b_{21}(t) + a_{23}(t)b_{31}(t) \\ b_{31}(t) & a_{32}(t)b_{21}(t) + a_{33}(t)b_{31}(t) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Now it is easy to see that $g(0) = g'(0) = 0$ but $g''(0) = -\alpha^{-1/3}(b'_{31}(0))^2$. Hence $g(t) \neq 0$ for sufficiently small t , $t \neq 0$.

□

Lemma 19 *Let $z_{\pm} \in Z^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_{\alpha^{\pm 1}})$ be nontrivial cocycles such that $z_+(S_1) = z_-(S_1) = 0$. If $z_+(S_2) \neq 0$ then $z_-(S_2) \neq 0$.*

Proof. We define $a := \alpha + \alpha^{-1}$. The number a is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ since the Alexander polynomial is symmetric. Now we have an extension of degree two $\mathbb{Q}(a) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. The defining equation is simply $x^2 - ax + 1 = 0$ and

we obtain a Galois automorphism $\tau: \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ of order two with fixed field $\mathbb{Q}(a)$ and $\tau(\alpha) = \alpha^{-1}$.

By fixing a Wirtinger presentation $\pi = \langle S_1, \dots, S_n \mid R_1, \dots, R_{n-1} \rangle$, each cocycle $z_{\pm}$ corresponds to a solution of a linear system $J(\alpha^{\pm 1})\mathbf{z} = 0$ where $J \in M_{n-1,n}(\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}])$ is the Jacobi matrix of the presentation (see [13, p. 976]). More precisely, if $\mathbf{z} = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ is a solution of the system $J(\alpha^{\pm 1})\mathbf{z} = 0$ then the corresponding cocycle is given by $z_{\pm}(S_i) = s_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

If $\mathbf{z}_+$ is a solution of $J(\alpha)\mathbf{z} = 0$ with $s_1 = 0$ and $s_2 \neq 0$ then $\tau(\mathbf{z}_+)$ is a solution of $J(\alpha^{-1})\mathbf{z} = 0$ since τ is an automorphism it follows $\tau(s_1) = 0$ and $\tau(s_2) \neq 0$. Let $\tilde{z}_-$ denote the cocycle given by $\tilde{z}_-(S_i) = \tau(s_i)$. Note that $\tilde{z}_-$ is nontrivial since $\tilde{z}_-(S_1) \neq \tilde{z}_-(S_2)$.

It follows from Blanchfield duality that α^{-1} is a double root of the Alexander polynomial and that $\dim H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_{\alpha^{-1}}) = 1$ (see [13, Proposition 4.7]). Hence if z_- is any nontrivial cocycle then there exists $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $z_-(S_i) = t\tilde{z}_-(S_i) + (\alpha^{-1} - 1)b$. Now $z_-(S_1) = 0$ implies that $b = 0$ and hence $z_-(S_2) = t\tilde{z}_-(S_2) \neq 0$. $\square$

Recall from the proof of Proposition 10 that the projection $sl(3, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow sl(3, \mathbb{C})/b_+ \cong \mathbb{C}_-(3)$ induces an isomorphism

$$\Phi: H^1(\pi, sl(3, \mathbb{C})) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Ker} (H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_-(3)) \xrightarrow{\delta^2} H^2(\pi, b_+)).$$

Moreover, recall from Lemma 13 that there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_{\alpha^{-1}}) \oplus H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_-(3)) \rightarrow H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_{\alpha^{-1}}) \rightarrow 0.$$

In the sequel we will fix a non trivial cocycle $z_- \in Z^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_{\alpha^{-1}})$ such that $z_-(S_1) = 0$. It follows from the preceding lemma that $z_-(S_2) \neq 0$. Moreover we have that the two cocycles $h \cup z_- \in Z^2(\pi, \mathbb{C}_{\alpha^{-1}})$ and $z \cup z_- \in Z^2(\pi, \mathbb{C})$ are coboundaries. We will also fix cochains $g_-: \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\alpha^{-1}}$ and $g_0: \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\delta g_- + h \cup z_- = 0 \text{ and } \delta g_0 + z \cup z_- = 0.$$

From Equation (7) and the above sequence we obtain that $H^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}_-(3))$ is a three dimensional vector space with basis

$$\bar{z}_1 = z_- \bar{E}_{21}, \quad \bar{z}_2 = h \bar{E}_{32} \text{ and } \bar{z}_3 = z_- \bar{E}_{31} - g_0 \bar{E}_{32} + g_- \bar{E}_{21}.$$

Hence every $z \in Z^1(\pi, sl(3, \mathbb{C}))$ has the form

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ t_1 z_- + t_3 g_- + \delta b_1 & * & * \\ t_3 z_- + \delta b_3 & t_2 h - t_3 g_0 & * \end{pmatrix}$$

where $t_i \in \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 20 *There exist deformations $\rho_t: \pi \rightarrow SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\rho_0 = \tilde{\rho}$, with the property that ρ_t is stable for all sufficiently small t , $t \neq 0$.*

Proof. Note that $Z(\rho)$ is finite if and only if $H^0(\pi, sl(3, \mathbb{C})_\rho) = 0$. Moreover, the condition $H^0(\pi, sl(3, \mathbb{C})_\rho) = 0$ is an open condition on the representation variety. Hence all representation sufficiently close to $\tilde{\rho}$ have finite stabilizer.

Let $z \in Z^1(\pi, sl(3, \mathbb{C}))$ be a cocycle such that $\Phi(z) = t_1 \bar{z}_1 + t_2 \bar{z}_2 + t_3 \bar{z}_3$ with $t_3 \neq 0$. Such a cocycle exists always since $\bar{z}_2 \notin \text{Ker } \delta^2$ and $\dim \text{Ker } \delta^2 = 2$.

Let ρ_t be a deformation of $\tilde{\rho}$ with leading term z . We apply Lemma 17 to this deformation for $A(t) = \rho_t(S_1)$ and $B(t) = \rho_t(S_2)$. Since $a_{31}(t) \equiv 0$ it follows that

$$a'_{31}(0) = \alpha^{2/3}(t_3 z_-(S_1) + (\alpha^{-1} - 1)b_3) = 0$$

and hence $b_3 = 0$. By Lemma 19 we obtain $b'_{31}(0) = \alpha^{2/3}t_3 z_-(S_2) \neq 0$.

Hence we can apply Proposition 18 and obtain that ρ_t is irreducible for sufficiently small $t \neq 0$. $\square$

Corollary 21 *There exist irreducible, non metabelian deformations of $\tilde{\rho}$.*

Proof. Let ρ_t be a deformation of $\tilde{\rho}$ such that ρ_t is irreducible. Then for sufficiently small t we have that $\text{tr } \rho_t(\mu)$ is close to $\text{tr } \tilde{\rho}(\mu) = \alpha^{-1/3}(\alpha + 2)$. Moreover we have $\text{tr } \tilde{\rho}(\mu) \neq 0$ since -2 is not a root of the Alexander polynomial: $(x + 2) \mid \Delta_K(x)$ implies $3 \mid \Delta_K(1) = \pm 1$ which is impossible.

By Theorem 1.2 of [5], we have for every irreducible metabelian representation $\rho: \pi \rightarrow SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ that $\text{tr } \rho(\mu) = 0$. Hence ρ_t is irreducible non metabelian for sufficiently small t . $\square$

Remark 22 *Let $\rho_\alpha: \pi \rightarrow SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ be the diagonal representation given by $\rho_\alpha(\mu) = \alpha^{-1/3} \text{diag}(\alpha, 1, 1)$. The orbit $\mathcal{O}(\rho_\alpha)$ is contained in the closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\rho})}$. Hence $\tilde{\rho}$ and ρ_α project to the same point χ_α of the variety of characters $X_3(\pi) = R_3(\pi) // SL(3, \mathbb{C})$.*

It would be natural to study the local picture of the variety of characters $X_3(\pi) = R_3(\pi) // SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ at χ_α as done in [13, § 8]. Unfortunately, there are much more technical difficulties since in this case the quadratic cone $Q(\rho_\alpha)$ coincides with the Zariski tangent space $Z^1(\pi, sl(3, \mathbb{C})_{\rho_\alpha})$. Therefore the third obstruction has to be considered.

References

- [1] M. Artin, On the solutions of analytic equations. *Invent.Math.*, 5: 277–291, 1968.
- [2] L. Ben Abdelghani, *Espace des représentations du groupe d'un nœud dans un groupe de Lie.*, thesis Université de Bourgogne (1998).
- [3] L. Ben Abdelghani, Espace des représentations du groupe d'un nœud classique dans un groupe de Lie. *Ann. Inst. Fourier. (4)*, 50: 1297–1321, 2000.
- [4] L. Ben Abdelghani, D. Lines, Involutions on knot groups and varieties of representations in a Lie group. *J. Knot Theory and Its Ramifications (1)*, 11: 81–104, 2002.
- [5] H. U. Boden and S. Friedl, Metabelian $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ representations of knot groups, *ArXiv.org:0803.4329*, 2008.
- [6] K.S. Brown. *Cohomology of Groups*. Springer, 1982.
- [7] G. Burde. Darstellungen von Knotengruppen. *Math. Ann.*, 173:24–33, 1967.
- [8] G. de Rham. Introduction aux polynômes d'un nœud. *Enseign. Math. (2)*, 13:187–194, 1967.
- [9] C.D. Frohman, E.P. Klassen, Deforming representations of knot groups in $SU(2)$. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 66: 340–361, 1991.
- [10] W.M. Goldman, The symplectic nature of fundamental groups of surfaces. *Adv.Math.*, 54: 200–225, 1984.
- [11] C.M. Herald, Existence of irreducible representations for knot complement with nonconstant equivariant signature. *Math. Ann.(1)*, 309: 21–35, 1997.
- [12] M. Heusener, J. Kroll, Deforming abelian $SU(2)$ -representations of knot groups. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 73: 480–498, 1998.
- [13] M. Heusener, J. Porti, Deformations of reducible representations of 3-manifold groups into $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$. *Algebraic and Geometric Topology*, 5: 965–997, 2005.

- [14] M. Heusener, J. Porti, E. Suárez Peirò, Deformations of reducible representations of 3-manifold groups into $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 530: 191–227, 2001.
- [15] H. Jebali, Module d’Alexander et représentations métabéliennes. arXiv:0709.2306
- [16] A. Lubotzky, AR. Magid, Varieties of representations of finitely generated groups. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 58: xi+117, 1985.
- [17] P.E. Newstead, Lectures on introduction to moduli problems and orbit spaces. *Tata Institute Lectures on Mathematics*, Springer (1978).
- [18] IR. Shafarevich. *Basic algebraic geometry*. Springer- Verlag, New York. 1974.
- [19] DJ. Shors, *Deforming reducible representations of knot groups in $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$* , thesis U.C.L.A. (1991).
- [20] R. Steinberg, *Conjugacy classes in algebraic groups*. LNM, Vol. 366. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974.
- [21] A. Weil, Remarks on the cohomology of groups. *Ann. of Math.(2)*, 80: 149–157, 1964.